UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,125	08/21/2003	Daniel C. Birkestrand	ROC920030189US1	7107
46797 7590 05/12/2008 IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			EXAMINER	
			ZHE, MENG YAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2195	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,125	BIRKESTRAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MENGYAO ZHE	2195
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI cause the application to become AB/	CATION. uply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-14,16-25 and 27-40 is/are pendida.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-14,16-25 and 27-40 is/are reject.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the original tha	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/645,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16-25 and 27-40 are presented for examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 14, 16-22 recite an "apparatus"; however, it appears that the apparatus would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software, per se, failing to be tangibly embodied or include any recited <u>hardware</u> as part of the system.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-9, 17, 23-25, 28-30, 32, 36, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dynamic Virtual Clusters in a Grid Site Manager,

Art Unit: 2195

Sara E. Sprenkle et al., Pub date, June 22-24, 2003 (hereafter Spenkle) in view of Camble et al., Pub No. 2003/0135580 (hereafter Camble).

6. Camble was cited in the previous office action.

As per claims 1, 23, 30, 36, 38, Sprenkle teaches a method for expanding resources available to a first logical partition on a system associated with a client, the method comprising:

associating one ore more partition resources of the first logical partition with a grid, wherein the grid comprises grid resources that are available for use by a plurality of logical partitions associated with the grid (Section 1 Introduction, 1<sup>st</sup> Para, 4<sup>th</sup> Para; Section 2 Overview: 1<sup>st</sup> Para, 4<sup>th</sup> Para beginning with "these functions are...", 5<sup>th</sup> Para: each virtual cluster corresponds to a partition and each virtual cluster can donate and share a set amount of resources to the grid);

providing grid resources from the grid to the first logical partition based upon usage of the partition resources of the first logical partition (Section1 Introduction, 1<sup>st</sup> Para; Section 2 Overview, 5<sup>th</sup> Para: it is inherent that the grid resources are for sharing between virtual clusters, it is what grid resources are.);

Sprenkle does not specifically teach providing on-demand resources to the first logical partition based upon the usage of the partition resources of the first logical partition and a usage of the grid resources, wherein the on-demand resources are available to the system, and access to the on demand resources is controlled by a manufacturer of the system.

However, Camble teaches providing on-demand resources to logical partitions based upon the usage of the partition resources, wherein the on-demand resources are available to the system, and access to the on demand resources is controlled by a manufacturer of the system (Para 26) for the purpose of purchasing additional resources.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Sprenkle with providing on-demand resources to logical partitions based upon the usage of the partition resources, wherein the on-demand resources are available to the system, and access to the on-demand resources is controlled by a manufacturer of the system, as taught by Camble, because it allows for the purchase of additional resources.

As per claims 2, 24, 25, Camble teaches comprising metering a usage of the ondemand resources by the client to determine a cost to assess the client (Para 26). Sprenkle teaches using grid resources among logical partitions (Section 2 Overview, Para 4, Para 5).

As per claims 4, Sprenkle teaches wherein associating the one or more partition resources of the first logical partition comprises enabling allocation from the grid resources to the logical partition (Section 1 Introduction, Para 1; Section 2 Overview, Para 5).

Art Unit: 2195

As per claim 5, Sprenkle teaches wherein associating the one or more partition resources of the first logical partition comprises registering with the grid at least a portion of partition resources associated with the first logical partition, to allow the portion to be allocated to the plurality of logical partitions associated with the grid (Section 2 Overview, Para 4, 5).

As per claim 6, Sprenkle teaches wherein providing grid resources comprises: determining an unallocated portion of grid resources and allocating the unallocated portion of the grid resources to the first logical partition (Section 2 Overview, Para 4, 5: the resources may be shared, donated, and leased to and from each virtual cluster).

As per claims 8, 28, 32, Camble teaches wherein providing on-demand resources comprises: determining that use of partition resources of the first partition has at least reached a partition utilization threshold; determining that sufficient resources are unavailable from the grid resources; and allocating an unallocated portion of the on-demand resources to the logical partition (Para 26: the amount of resources allowed by the license key corresponds to the threshold, which if it is exceeded, the on-demand resources are allocated to the logical partition).

As per claims 9, 17, 29, Camble teaches wherein providing on-demand resources further comprises: determining that usage of the grid resources has at least reached a grid utilization threshold; requesting an enablement code to enable the on-demand

resources; and allocating an unallocated portion of the on-demand resources to the logical partition (Para 26).

- 7. Claims 7, 10, 11-14, 16, 18-22, 27, 31, 33-35, 37, 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dynamic Virtual Clusters in a Grid Site Manager, Sara E. Sprenkle et al., Pub date, June 22-24, 2003 (hereafter Spenkle) in view of Camble et al., Pub No. 2003/0135580 (hereafter Camble) further in view of Lumelsky et al., Patent No. 6,460,082 (hereafter Lumelsky).
- 8. Lumelsky was cited in the previous office action.
- 9. As per claims 7, 10, 14, 16, 21, 22, 27, 31, 39, 40, Camble teaches a method for expanding resources available to logical partitions on a system associated with a client, the method comprising:

allocating on-demand resources to the first logical partition after the first logical partition reaches a utilization threshold for the previously allocated resources, wherein the on-demand resources are available to the system, and access to the on demand resources is controlled by a manufacturer of the system (Para 26: the amount of resources allowed by the license key corresponds to the threshold, which if it is exceeded, the on-demand resources are allocated to the logical partition);

billing the client for usage of the on-demand resources (Para 26).

Camble does not teach registering resources with a grid as grid resources, wherein the grid resources are available for use by a plurality of logical partitions and

allocating grid resources to a first logical partition after utilization of partition resources of the first logical partition reaches a first utilization thresholds.

Spenkle teaches registering resources with a grid as grid resources, wherein the grid resources are available for use by a plurality of logical partitions for the purpose of sharing resources across virtual clusters (Section 1 Introduction, 1<sup>st</sup> Para, 4<sup>th</sup> Para; Section 2 Overview: 1<sup>st</sup> Para, 4<sup>th</sup> Para beginning with "these functions are...", 5<sup>th</sup> Para: each virtual cluster corresponds to a partition and each virtual cluster can donate and share a set amount of resources to the grid).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Camble with a grid as grid resources, wherein the grid resources are available for use by a plurality of logical partitions, as taught by Spenkle, because it allows sharing resources across virtual clusters.

Spenkle does not specifically teach a first utilization threshold where allocating grid resources to a first logical partition after utilization of partition resources of the first logical partition reaches a first utilization thresholds.

However, Lumelsky teaches allocating grid resources to the logical partition after utilization of partition resources by the logical partition reaches a first utilization threshold (Column 12, lines 38-45; Column 14, lines 35-43, 58-67) for the purpose of establish an overflow pool incase more resources are needed to provide run-time resource compensation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2195

time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Camble in view of Spenkle with allocating grid resources to the logical partition after utilization of partition resources by the logical partition reaches a first utilization threshold, as taught by Lumelsky, because it allows the establishment of an overflow pool incase more resources are needed to provide run-time resource compensation.

- 10. As per claims 11, 19, 20, Camble in view of Spenkle further in view of Lumelsky does not teaches billing the client for usage of the grid resources to offset a cost associated with enabling the on-demand resources. However, since Camble teaches billing for on-demand resources, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to bill the client for any type of resources, including grid resources.
- 11. As per claim 12, Camble teaches the method of claim 10, wherein billing the client for usage of the on-demand resources comprises billing the client for the on-demand resources allocated to the first logical partition based upon actual usage of the on-demand resources (Column 26).
- 12. As per claim 13, Lumelsky teaches the method of claim 10, wherein billing the client for usage of the resources comprises billing the client for the resources allocated to the first logical partition based upon a quantity of the resources allocated and the amount of time for which the quantity of the on-demand resources are allocated. (Figure

2, unit 152: it has a cost per minute associated with it.). Camble teaches on-demand resources may be allocated to the logical partitions (Para 26).

- 13. As per claim 18, Lumelsky teaches a second rate for the grid resources. (Figure 2: It is inherent in Lumelsky's teaching that a second rate exists since each service unit has its own cost associated with it, and a request may require multiple service units.)
- 14. As per claim 33, Lumelsky further teaches the threshold comprising an amount of resources used during a predetermined amount of time. (Column 8, lines 39-60: resource usage is fixed to a number of time intervals allowed for usage.)
- 15. As per claims 34, 37, Camble teaches a first fee and a second fee. (Para 19, lines 11-12; Para 21, lines 1-6).
- 16. As per claim 35, Camble in view of Spenkle further in view of Lumelsky does not specifically teach wherein at least one of the first fee and the second fee vary based on a factor chosen from the group consisting of a time of day and a time of year. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of computing resource provisioning to vary to the fee according to demands and needs for the purpose of maximizing profits over time.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,125 Page 10

Art Unit: 2195

### Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/28/2008 regarding to claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16-25 and 27-40 have been fully considered, but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MENGYAO ZHE whose telephone number is (571)272-6946. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday, 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,125 Page 11

Art Unit: 2195

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195